PATENT COOPE	ERATION TREATY JAN 1 82
From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	I.P. DEPT. FOLEY & DARD
To: RICHARD J. WARBURG FOLEY & LARINER LLP P.O. BOX 80278 SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278	PCT NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing 11 JAN 2006 (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference 034827-3102	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US04/24063	International filing date (day/month/year) 28 July 2004 (28.07.2004)
Applicant QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INVESTMENTS INCORPORATED	
have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the clai When? The time limit for filing such amendments is search report. Wher? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO 1211 Genev 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: For more detalled instructions, see the notes on the at The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addit	normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international , 34 chemin des Colombettes (41-22) 338.82.70. companying sheet. In report will be established and that the declaration under International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. ional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: In transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's es decision thereon to the designated Offices.
Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 9 preparations for international publication. The applicant may shound comments on an informal basis on International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. before the expiration of 30 months from the priority data. Ut thin 19 months from the priority data, but only in respect.	i, the international application will be published by the International anotics of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority $0ht_{1.5}$ and $90ht_{1.5}$, respectively, before the completion of the technical the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international These comments would also be made available to the public but not of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary entry into the national phase until 30 months from the case for entry out of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary entry into the national phase until 30 months from the acts for entry (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

Telephone No. 571-272-4544

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 034827-3102	FOR FURTHER see Form PCT/ISA/220 ACTION as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
International application No. PCT/US04/24063	International filing date (day/month/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/ 28 July 2004 (28.07.2004) (28 July 2003 (28.07.2003)			
Applicant QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INVESTMENTS INCORPORATED				
according to Article 18. A copy is being: This international search report consists of It is also accompanied 1. Basis of the Report a. With regard to the language, the the international a translation for of a translation for the constant of the consta	fa total of sheets. by a copy of each prior art document cited in international search was carried out on the bas application in the language in which it was file international application into	this report. is of: d. , which is the language th (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))		
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitthe text has been established, may, within one month from	tted by the applicant. according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority a the date of mailing of this international search	s it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant report, submit comments to this Authority.		
as suggested by the a	uthority, because the applicant failed to suggest uthority, because this figure better characterize			

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

	*		PCT/US04/24063	1		
	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER					
US CL	IPC(7) : B65D 25/00 US CL : 220/9.4					
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both n	ational classification a	and IPC			
	DS SEARCHED					
Minimum de U.S. : 2:	Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 220/9.4, 4.28, 4.29, 6, 9.2, 9.3, 23.9, 592.2, 592.23, 915.2, 206/545; 383/110, 111, 119					
Documentati	on searched other than minimum documentation to th	e extent that such doc	uments are included	in the fields searched		
Electronic da	ata base consulted during the international search (nar	ne of data base and, v	where practicable, sea	arch terms used)		
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where a	appropriate, of the rel	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Х	US 6,336,342 B1 (ZEDDIES) 08 January 2002 (08.	01.2002), Figures 1,	3, 4, 6, 7, column 4,	6, 11, 12, 14		
Y	lines 1-29 and 44-52, coilumn 5, lines 13-24			6, 9-13, 15-24		
x	US 2001/0030194 A1 (TATTAM) 18 October 2001 (18.10.2001), Figures 2A-2E and 4A-		1, 2, 6-8 and 17			
Y	4C, paragraphs 0001-0004, 0011-0013 and 0051-0052			3-5 and 20-24		
Y	US 6,582,124 B2 (MOGIL) 24 June 2003 (24.06.2003), Figures 1, 3, 7-10, column 4, lines		6, 9-13, 15-24			
Y	19-25 and 38-41, column 5, lines 3-17 and 40-41 US 2003/0106895 A1 (KALAL) 12 June 2003 (12.05.2003), Figure 2, paragraph 0022		4, 10, 24			
Y	US 4,865,899 A (REICHERT) 12 September 1989 (12.09.1989), Figures	8-10, column 4,	16		
Y				17-19		
Y	and 29-30 US 2001/0019024 A1 (BOYD-MOSS et al) 06 September 2001 (06.09.2001), Paragraphs			3, 9, 23		
	0002 and 0082)					
<u> </u>	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See notes	t family annex.			
	pecial categories of cited documents:			mational filing date or priority		
"A" document	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of	date and no	t in conflict with the applications theory underlying the investigation.	ation but cited to understand the		
particular "B" earlier ap	relevance plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	considered	f particular relevance; the o novel or cannot be consider ocument is taken alone	claimed invention cannot be red to involve an inventive step		
establish	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"V" document o	f particular relevance, the o	laimed invention cannot be when the document is combined		
specified) "O" document	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	with one or	more other such documents person skilled in the art	s, such combination being		
	published prior to the international filing date but later than the ste claimed	"&" document in	nember of the same patent fo	smily		
Date of the ac	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of t	he international searce 1 JAN 2006			
21 November	2005 (21.11.2005)	Authorized officer				
	illing address of the ISA/US I Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	4	sharm 11.	Greene for		
Con	umissioner for Patents	Nathan Newhouse		O		
P.O.	. Box 1450 tandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. 571	-272-4544			
Facsimile No	. (571) 273-3201					

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the	TIONAL SEARC	HING AUTH	ORITY		
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: RICHARD J. WARBURG FOLEY & LARDNER LLP P.O. BOX 80278		PCT			
	GO, CA 92138-0	0278			RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
Applicant	's or agent's file r	eference		FOR FURTHER	RACTION See paragraph 2 below
034827-3				<u> </u>	In the state of th
Internation	nal application No	o.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US0		ication (IPC)	28 July 2004 (28.07.200		28 July 2003 (28.07.2003)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC					
Applicant	65D 25/00 and US	S Cl.: 220/9.4			
QUEST D	IAGNOSTICS IN	VESTMENT	'S INCORPORATED		
1. This o	opinion contains i	ndications rela	ating to the following item	s:	
	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
	THER ACTIO				
Intern	ational Prelimina	ry Examining	Authority ("IPEA") ex	cept that this does PEA has notified th	be considered to be a written opinion of the sont apply where the applicant chooses an he International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) ered.
IPEA	a written renly to	gether, where	, considered to be a writt appropriate, with amenda xpiration of 22 months fro	nents, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.
For fu	rther options, see	Form PCT/IS	A/220.		
3. For fu	rther details, see r	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.		
Name and	mailing address o	of the ISA/ US	Date of comple	ion of this opinion	Authorized officer Sharon V. Sregge
N	fail Stop PCT, Attn	: ISA/US		005 (22.11.2005)	Nathan Newhouse
P	O. Box 1450		ZZ NOTOMBEI Z	(32011112009)	0 9
Facsimile l	Jexandria, Virginia No. (571) 273-320	22313-1450)1			Telephone No. 571-272-4544
	SA/237 (cover she		15)		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/US04/24063	

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With inven	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
Ь.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filling/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
s. 🗀	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
. Additi	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US04/24063

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule applicability; citations and expl	43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step anations supporting such statement	or industrial
1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 3-5, 9-10, 13, 15-16, 18-24	YES
110.000 (0.7)	Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11-12, 14, 17	
(1)	Claims NONE	YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims I-24	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-24	
	Claims NONE	NO
2. Citations and explanations:		
Please See Continuation Sheet		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/24063

Supplemental Box	
In case the space in any of the preceding hores is not sufficient.	

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 17 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Tattam (US 2001/0030194 A1)

- Regarding claims 1 and 2 Tattam provides a container with a soft-sided collapsible outer shell. (2, 3, Figures 2A-2E and 4A-4C.
 paragraphs 0011-0013 and 0051-0052) used for transporting hazardous materials (biochemical products) or organs (paragraphs 0001-0004). Positioning the hazardous material (organ) in the container would support the container from within, particularly when the end caps are not used (paragraph 0002).
- Regarding claim 6, Tattam discloses a container with a soft-sided collapsible outer shell and a collapsible inner frame with rigid walls. (2, 3, Figures 2A-2E and 4A-4C. paragraphs 0011-0013 and 0051-0052).
- 3. Regarding claims 7 and 8, Tattam discloses the container may be used for transportation of hazardous materials (biochemical products) or organs (paragraph 0004).
- A Regarding claim 17, Tattam discloses the inner frame has rigid longitudinal walls and side walls (all 4 walls being the same dimension) that are collapsible to allow a reduction in the distance between the longitudinal walls (Figures 2A-E and 4A-C, column 4, persaran 40031).
- 5. Claim 3 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Tuttam in view of Boyd-Moss et al (US 2001/00)09204 Al.) Tattam discloses the method of claim 1 but does not teach that the outer shell satisfies LTA 602 requirements. Boyd-Moss et al discloses that it is known to construct a transport package that meets LATA 602 requirements to allow the package to used for transport of heazedness goods (paragraphs 9002 and 0082). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use materials and construction to meet LATA 602 as disclosed by Boyd-Moss et al in the container disclosed in claim to a low of the container of the used for transport of heazedness materials.
- 6. Claim 4 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Tattam in view of Kalla (US 2003/0106895 A1). Tattam discloses the method of claim 1 except for the vent theles. Kalla discloses a collapsible soft-sided container with a liner having vent holes in the outer shell to allow venting of air when the container is collapsed (42, Figure 2, paragraph 0022). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of vent holes in the outer shell as disclosed by Kalla in the container disclosed on claim 6 to allow venting of air when the container is collapsed.
- Claim 5 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Tattam. Regarding claim 5, it would have been
 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to remove the hazardous material from the container at destination and collapse the container
 for more efficient storage or transport (paragnah) 0020).

Claims 6, 11, 12 and 14 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Zeddies (US 6,336,342 B1).

- 8. Regarding claim 6, Zeddies discloses a container with a soft-sided collapsible outer shell and a collapsible inner frame with rigid walls. (Figures 1, 4, 6, 7, column 4, lines 1-29, column 5 lines 13-24).
- Regarding claims 11 and 12, Zeddies discloses a plurality of walls integrally formed with a bottom and an open top (Figures 1 and 4) and a lid selectively secured to a vertical side wall (40, column 4, lines 44-52).
- 10. Regarding claim 14, Zeddies discloses the walls, including the bottom wall, are structurally reinforced (31, Figure 3, column 4, lines 26-28).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IS04/24063

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

- Claims 6, 11-13 and 15 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Mogil (US 6,582,124 B2) in view
 of Teddies.
- 12. Reparding claim 6, Mogil discloses a container with a collapsible soft sided outer shell and a frame (liner) (Figures 1, 3, 7-9, column 4, lines 19-25). Mogil does not teach the use of a nigid inner frame. Zeddies discloses a collapsible rigid inner frame used with a collapsible uniter shell to provide support to the outer shell. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of a collapsible rigid inner frame as disclosed by Zeddies in the container disclosed by Mogil to provide support for the soft sided collapsible outer shell.
- 13. Regarding claims 11-13, Mogil discloses a plurality of vertical walls integrally formed with a bottom (column 4, lines 29-38) and an open top with a lid (46) selectively secured to the vertical walls with a zipper (48, column 4, lines 38-41).
- 14. Regarding claim 15, Mogil discloses an outer fabric layer (88, Figure 10, column 5, lines 40-41) and a foam insulation layer (90).
- Claim 10 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Mogil and Zeddies as applied to claim 6 in view of Kalal (US 2003/0106895 A1).
- 15. Mogil and Zeddire disclose the container of claim 6 except for the vent holes. Kalal discloses a collapsible soft sided container with a liner having went holes in the outer shell in allow venting of air when the container is collapsed (42, Figure 2, puragraph 0022). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of vent holes in the outer shell as disclosed by Kalal in the container disclosed in claim 6 to allow venting of air when the container is collapsed.

Claim 9 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Mogil and Zeddies as applied to claim 6 in view of Boyd-Moss et al (US 2001/0019024 A1).

16. Mogil and Zeddies disclose the container of claim 6 but do not teach that the outer shell satisfies IATA 602 requirements. Boyd-Moss et al discloses that it is known to construct a transport package that meets IATA 602 requirements to allow the package to be used for transport of hazardous goods (paragraphs 002 and 0082). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use materials and construction to meet IATA 602 as disclosed by Boyd-Moss et al in the container disclosed in claim for to allow the container the be used for transport of hazardous materials.

Claim 16 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Mogil and Zeddies as applied to claim 15 in view of Reichert (US 4,865,899 A).

17. Mogil and Zeddies disclose the container of claim 15 but do not teach the outer fabric includes polyester. Reichert discloses a container of the transport of hazardous materials constructed from fabric comprising polyester that is selected for a high degree of imperviousness to hazardous materials. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of polyester in the fabric of the container as disclosed by Reichert in the container disclosed in claim 15 to provide a high degree of imperviousness to hazardous materials.

Claims 17-19 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Mogil and Zeddies as applied to claim 6 in view of Travis (TIS 4.585.159 A).

- 18. Regarding claim 17, Mogil and Zeddies disclose the container of claim 6 with an inner frame having opposing rigid longitudinal walls and opposing collapsible and walls but do not exact hint the side walls link the ends of the longitudinal walls and allow a reduction in a distance between the longitudinal walls when collapsed. Travis discloses a frame structure capable being used as the inner frame of the invention made of rigid material (Figures 1 and 2, column 2, lines 1-23) with the side walls linking the ends of the longitudinal walls and the walls being collapsible allowing a reduction in a distance between the longitudinal walls (column 2, lines 14 to 25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at het time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of the frame structure as disclosed by Travis in the container disclosed by claim 6 to provide an inner frame that would be less bulky and fold flat with a thiner profile for handling and storage.
- 19. Regarding claim 18, Travis further discloses the bottom is pivotably engaged to the opposing rigid walls and pivots between open and collapsed positions (column 2, lime 29-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of the bottom panel pivotably attached to the rigid wall as disclosed by Travis to provide for the movement of the rigid bottom from an open position to the collapsed position to allow collapse of the frame.
- 20. Regarding claim 19. Mogil discloses a fastener that secures the outer shell in the collapsed position (Figures 7-9, column 5, lines 1-7). In would have been obvious to one of ordinary, skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of fastener as disclosed by Mogil on the collapsed inner frame as well, to keep the frame secured in the collapsed position for ease of handline.

Claims 20-22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Mogil in view of Zeddies and Tattam.

- 21. Regarding claims 20 and 21, Mogil provides a container with a collapsible soft sided outer shell and a frame (liner) (Figures 1, 3, 7-9, column 4, lines 19-25). Mogil does not teach the use of a rigid inner frame. Zeddies discloses a collapsible rigid inner frame inserted in a collapsible outer shell to provide support to the outer shell. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of a collapsible rigid inner frame as disclosed by Zeddies in the container disclosed by Mogil to provide support for the soft sided collapsible outer shell.
- Mogil does not teach postioning a hazardous material or organ in the assembled configuration. Tattam discloses a container with
 a soft-sided collapsible outer shell. (2. 3. Figures 2A-2E and 4A-4C. paragraphs 0011-0013 and 0051-0052) used for transporting

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/24063

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

hazardous materials (biochemical products) or organs (paragraphs 0001-0004). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of the assembled configuration of Mogil and Zeddies for transport of hazardous materials including organs as disclosed by Tattam to provide collapsible container with a removable frame for added container strength and collapsibility.

- 23. Regarding claim 22, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to remove the hazardous material from the container at destination, remove the inner frame and collapse the frame and the outer shell for more efficient storage or transport.
- 24. Claim 23 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Mogil, Zeddies and Tatam as supplied to claim 20 in view of 19 poly-Mons et al. Mogil. Zeddies and Tatam discloses the method of claim 20 but do not teach that the outer bell satisfies IATA 602 requirements. Broyd-Mons et al. discloses that it is known to construct a transport package that meets IATA 602 requirements allow the packages to be used for transport of hazardous goods (raugraphs 10002 and 0002). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use materials and construction to meat IATA 602 as disclosed by Boyd-Mons et al in the container disclosed of Indian 20 to allow the container to be used for transport of hazardous materials.
- 25. Claim 24 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Mogil, Zoddies and Tattam as expoled to claim 20 except of the work to less than 1 lacks and Fattam as collapsible soft sided container with a liner having vent holes in the outer shell to allow venting of air when the container is collapsible and Fattam discontinuous container with a liner having vent holes in the outer shell to allow venting of air when the container is collapsed (24, Figure 2, paragraph 2022, 11 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of vent holes in the outer shell as disclosed by Kalal in the container disclosed in claim 20 to allow venting of air when the container is collapsed.
- 26. Claims 1-24 moet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged.
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iu) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed.
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers." letter: claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where onemally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: 'Claims I to 15 replaced by amended claims I to 11
- 3 [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in
 - "Claims I to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled: new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4 [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 - "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14: claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)1.

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, as the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a Fremmany Exalizining Assistance a coup or solar aminimization can or any statement and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.5(a) and 62.2, first sentence.) For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's extention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.